Can Split Cycle Engine Architecture Optimise Ammonia Combustion?

Dr. Angad Panesar, Ms. Elisa Wylie (PhD Candidate), Dr. Steven Begg, Dr. Guillaume De Sercey

Scope of Research Study and Objective

Identify and quantify the feasibility of unique benefits for gaseous NH₃ homogeneous combustion in a split cycle engine architecture

Rational in Approach - Why NH₃? Why Split Cycle?

- NH₃ is carbon free with high H₂ density (17.8% wt.), infrastructure is established with production of ≈ 200 Mt/year [3], liq. NH₃ stores H₂ at higher densities than liq. H₂ (121 vs. 70.8 kg-H₂/m³ [3])
- NH₃ has a high autoignition temperature with narrow flammability range (13.3 17.1% lower flammability limit, LFL, to 25 39.4% upper flammability limit, UFL [1]), relatively low flame speed (0.15 m/s), is dangerous to health at > 300 ppm [3] and the nitrogen content may exacerbate NOx formation. Hence, combustion focused solution is crucial, maintaining an operating window that enables ignition, but minimises peak temperatures.
- Recuperated Split Cycle Engine (RSCE), post-recuperator temperature and pressures are \approx 30 bar/400°C, and NH₃ reaches bubble point at 77°C [4]. Therefore, NH₃ could be stored as liq. (maximise energy density) and port-injected post-recuperator, where conditions enable vaporisation and energetic mixing, supporting development of homogeneous conditions.

Methods and Chemistry

Stage 1: Results are compared for combustion of CH₄ and H₂20% in a single cylinder spark ignition research engine (SCRE) and NH₃ in a digital twin of the SCRE and expander of RSCE (Chemkin-Pro Spark Ignition Engine Model - CSIE). Stage 2: Various NH₃ parameter studies were run in the CSIE model of the RSCE, including manifold temperature/pressure, intake valve closing (IVC) time and burn efficiency (BE). Each fuel was run at the leanest condition before combustion stability was compromised, where combustion efficiency was deteriorating in the SCRE, leading to increased unburnt fuel exhaust emissions and potential misfire ($CH_4 \phi = 0.7374$, $H_2 20\%$ and $NH_3 \phi = 0.64$).

Advanced Engineering Centre University of Brighton





Chemkin Spark Ignition Engine Model, CSIE	
IVC (CA°)	-145
EVO (CA°)	143
Engine speed (rpm)	900
Engine compression ratio	12.6
Bore/Stroke (mm)	131/158
Swept volume (Litres)	2.13
Con- rod length (mm)	267.5
Con rod to Crank ratio	3.39
Woschni coefficients	C11 = 2.28, C12 = 0.308, C2 = 0.324
Dimensionless heat transfer coefficients	a = 0.035, b = 0.8, c = 0.33 [36]
Gas-Phase Kinetics and thermodynamics	GRI-Mech 3.0

Combustion of NH₃ at ϕ =0.64 can be represented by:

$$NH_3 + \frac{93}{80} \left(O_2 + \frac{94}{25} N_2 \right) \rightarrow \frac{7}{500} NH_3 + \frac{69}{10000} NO_x + \frac{1479}{1000} H_2 O + \frac{243}{50} N_2 + \frac{8353}{20000} O_2$$

Flammability limits of NH₃ widen with increase in temperature, varying linearly with temperature and logarithmically with pressure[1]. Extrapolation of recent experimental results [1] indicates a LFL of 12%Vol for NH₃/air mixtures at greater than 350 °C, supported by previous experimental work that indicated 11.2%Vol for LFL at greater than 400 °C [2], equating to an equivalence ratio of $\approx \phi 0.45$. The estimated %Vol NH₃ in this study is above its LFL in the tested conditions (14.6% vol at 400 °C and ϕ =0.64). This means that it is theoretically possible to run at leaner mixes in the RSCE manifold conditions. However, NH₃ has an autoignition temperature of 854 °C (without a catalyst), hence, whilst a flame could be sustained at this ϕ , spark is required for ignition at TDC conditions for both the RSCE and SCRE (400 °C/40 bar and 451 °C/45 bar respectively).



At concentrations near the LFL and at standard conditions consumption of NH_3 can follow the path shown by: (1) $H + O_2 \leftrightarrow O + OH$ (2) $NH_3 + OH \leftrightarrow NH_2 + H_2O$ (3) $2OH \leftrightarrow O + H_2O$ (4) $NH_2 + OH \leftrightarrow NH + H_2O$ (5) $NH_2 + NO \leftrightarrow NNH + OH$ (6) $NH_2 + NO \leftrightarrow N_2 + H_2O$

Where reactions (1) and (5) both increase flame temperatures, whilst (6) is the main inhibitor of temperature increase [1]. As pressure increases, (3) is replaced with (4), whist (7) competes with (1) and (8) for H species:

(7) $H + O_2(+M) \leftrightarrow HO_2(+M)$ (8) $N_2O + H \leftrightarrow N_2 + OH$ Therefore, cool combustion which inhibits formation of NOx, can be supported by lean mixtures at high initial temperatures and pressures.

■ Peak Pressure ■ BSFC ■ Exhaust NH3 flow rate ■ Peak pressure rise rate



> Compared to CH_4 and H20% in the SCRE, NH_3 underperforms in BSFC (g/kWh) in both the SCRE and RSCE, BUT outperforms for BSEC (MJ/kWh) in the RSCE configuration. Hence, whilst there is a penalty in mass to power conversion, due to the reduction in gravimetric energy density, there are gains for fuel energy to power conversion efficiency. This is reflected in the 1.5% point increase in BTE for NH₃ combustion in the **RSCE** architecture.

> Furthermore, whilst both the SCRE and RSCE require spark to support ignition, the resulting cool combustion maintained peak cylinder temperatures below 2100 K, resulting in minimal NOx emission (g/kWh) approaching even stringent heavy duty Euro VII limits. Next steps will examine NOx emissions with combustion efficiencies from engines.

Baseline for comparison: NH₃ in the RSCE at IVC -10 CA^o, 30 bar and 400 °C manifold conditions. Key metrics included peak pressure, peak pressure rise rate (PPRR), BSFC and exhaust NH₃ flow rate (ENE).

- > Firstly, advancing IVC (-10 to -15 CA^o) increases PPRE and ENE, with no gain in performance (constant BSFC), whilst delaying IVC (-5 to -1 CA^o) reduces PPRR and ENE, but is also detrimental to performance (increased BSFC). Trade-off occurs at IVC -5 CA^o offering reduction in PPRR and ENE without compromising BSFC.
- Secondly, reductions in initial temperature increased air and fuel density and, therefore, brake power (30 to 40 kW/cylinder from 400 to 200 °C) which increased BSFC, ENE and PPRR. Increase in PPRR was due to an increase in fuel density (at increased air density and constant ϕ) increasing the magnitude of the combustion event. Increase in ENE and associated increase in BSFC was due to the constant BE (98.6%, as observed for this equivalence ratio in the SCRE) causing an



increase in unburnt NH₃ with flow rate, and a resultant reduction in fuel to power conversion efficiency.

> Thirdly, reduction in initial pressure was beneficial to PPRR and ENE, but detrimental to performance (increase in BSFC). Increase in initial pressure had the reverse effect, because increasing initial pressure benefits volumetric efficiency, which, at constant lambda, results in an increase in fuel density with an associated increase in ENE at constant BE.



Initial Conclusions and Ongoing Investigations

- NH₃ fuelling is feasible with the post-recuperator injection strategy, with gains in performance (BTE) and emissions (NOx) in the RSCE architecture.
- Optimum conditions were: $\phi=0.64$ NH₃, with -5 CA^o IVC, 40 bar and 400°C manifold temperature and pressure, in combination with spark ignition.
- Further studies, H2 fumigation 5-10%, compared to optimum NH3, benefit due to inc. blend calorific value and trade off inc. NOx.



Science and ŘÌ Technology **Facilities Council**





University of Brighton



References

[1] W. Fu et al. *Energy & Fuels,* 2024.

[2] G. Harris et al. *Icheme symposium series*, 1977, vol. 49, pp. 29-36.

[3] M. Aziz et al. *Energies,* vol. 13, no. 12, p. 3062, 2020.

[4] X. Zhang et al. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, vol. 9, p. 741704, 2021.

[5] R. Patil et al. *Flow, Turbulence and Combustion,* pp. 1-22, 2024.



